At first, I have to admit that dealing with Egyptian Nostalgia is a very complex and debatable task. This phenomenon is considered as one of the key words of the Ancient Egyptian Civilization as a whole. Many questions arouse motivating this study such as: could looking back to the past by some people be considered as a refusal of their present time? What factors may influence those people to turn back to their past? Is this Nostalgia restricted to a specific field of creation such as art or literature only, or restricted to a specific class of society? But the biggest question is: why do scholars have different, sometimes contradictory views concerning this phenomenon? Archaism or Archaismus is the most commonly used term by Egyptologists to express the Egyptian interest in their past. But scholars also used other terms as: Classicalism, Classicism, Antiquarianism, and Revival, die Konservative Haltung, Revitalisierung, Rückgriff, or even Renaissance. We can add an anthropological one to this list which is: Fundamentalism. Although these terms seem to have the same meaning, there are slight differences between them. Being an embodiment of the Egyptian's realization of his existence, Nostalgia is expected to express itself in all fields of civilization: Painting, Sculpture, Architecture, Literature, Funerary practices, and other cultural activity. Concerning Archaism, we can divide its fields into two main types:

First: A Silent type; which refers to the artistic stylistic forms such as painting, sculpture, etc. Second: An Eloquent type; namely the secular and religious literature. Concerning the Silent type, it is expected that scholars have to exert some effort to extract and to conclude the archaizing tendencies involved indirectly in it. On the other hand, the Eloquent expresses itself more clearly and directly, with no "obscure meaning". These Eloquent texts may mention Šp ṭpy first time: referring to the time of creation, or talk about the ideal past of the "Golden Age", or even use archaic grammatical, epigraphic or orthographic forms of writing. The first initial challenge which we may face is the problem of dating, by which we get different suggestions. Most of the scholars focus on the Late Period. It cannot be a peak or a starting point at the same time. But others have different suggestions. H. Brunner supposes that Archaism started during the New Kingdom: “Vielleicht die erste Periode, in der Man archaismus feststellen könnte, ist die frühe 19. Dyn.”. While another opinion sets an earlier start which is during the Old Kingdom. J. Josephson assumes that “the earliest acknowledged example of an archaism occurs in the sixth dynasty tomb of Nisutnefer in Giza”. And a third one may refer to the Middle Kingdom as an assumed starting time of this phenomenon. D. Wildung says: "The art of the royal workshops in Memphis at the beginning of the twelfth Dynasty referred back to the legacy of the Old Kingdom from Memphis". And so, "Looking back to the past had smoothed the way forward into the future".
These wide gaps could be considered as a result of the diverse bases upon which each scholar built his assumption. In other words, this is due to the lack of common agreed upon criteria for detecting and measuring this Nostalgia or Archaism accurately. If each scholar, separately, would have his own point of view, he’ll surely get different results and conclusions. Another important point which must be taken into consideration is the differentiation between "Tradition" and "Nostalgia" or "Archaism". Traditions are simply habits which are inherited and practiced continuously from the ancestors by their successors with no time gaps between both of them13. Using the inherited archived religious texts in temple rituals may be a good example for Traditions14. But within Archaism, a time gap between the present and the past must exist. So, turning back to the past happens in this case with consciousness. The process of choosing specific elements of the past, to be revived – i.e. Eclecticism which reminds me also of Egyptomania, takes place under the umbrella of "awareness" and does not automatically happen as in tradition. This "conscious" Archaism requires archives kept in safety up to a few years to be imitated,15 the examples of which may be:

1) Tut-Ankh-Amun’s "restoration stela" which refers to the reformation executed by the king to end the Amarna's disturbance16. And as the king wrote in the inscriptions of this stela that the goal of Pharaonic history is "that the world becomes as it was at the time of creation"17. So, El-Amarna period is considered here as the "time gap" which existed between the present & the past times.

2) Each Egyptian king considered his reign as a new start of the world. So, many kings like Amenemhat I, Seti I & Herihor in the reign of Ramses XI used the term wHm mswt to describe their ascension to the throne18. This proclaims a "Rebirth" or renewal act for the entire cosmos inspired by the ideal past during the time of creation19. This may prove, as Hornung describes20, "the Pharaoh's ability to repeat what the creator God had done at the beginning of time". "Injustice and disorder may rule until a new king could ascend the throne and reintroduce Maat as the basis of all order". "All this has little to do with historical reality". If we agreed with the assumption that any specific civilization lives either in a "Linear Time" or in a "Periodic, Cyclic Repeated" one21, we can then accept that this theory of wHm mswt (renewal or birth), lets the Egyptian time takes the shape of the cyclic "Ouroboros snake" with his "tail in mouth" (sd m r3)22. The main task of the king is to return back some of the completeness of the world enjoyed during the time of creation.

3) The late period, namely the Kushite – Saïte period is considered to be an obvious peak in this Nostalgia23. Some scholars assume that, in the Late Period, a kind of “misinterpretation” or confusion occurred concerning what is mythical and what is historic24. This means that the Egyptians of that period, due to political circumstances and foreigners' rule, could not differentiate between the mythical inherited events and the actual historical past. Against this opinion, Assmann considers the Archaism of this period as a civilizational revolution. From his point of view, the Egyptians of that period explored and re–discovered their great past, which was inspiring consciously and selectively in all fields of civilization and there was no kind of "mixing"25. Now, how can we determine the bases and motives of
Archaism in a specific period of time? We can figure out the following concerning this question:

1) The density and degree of Archaizing tendency widely differs from one period to another across Egyptian history. Simply, this means that each period has its own motives although there is a possibility of having some common ones at all periods.

2) The ancient Egyptians categorized the past into two types: Mythical and Historical. Otto expresses this differentiation and the relation between these types as follows: “Die Urzeit als das ganz andere, die Schöpfungszeit als das Normen Gebende und die Jetztzeit als das die Schöpfungszeit Fortsetzende”. Egyptian texts referred to the time of creation as first time with great frequency. The golden age or the standard past is the time at which "the original harmony between men and the divine world order existed", before the Gods' departure from the earth to heaven. At that golden age, Isf was replaced by Maat, which descended from Heaven, the snake (and the crocodile) didn’t bite, the scorpion didn’t sting, bellies were full of food and there was no hunger in the two lands. Thus, these are the characteristics of the time of Gods and the Sun–God. As Kákosy assumed, “The reign of the successors to the throne of the Sun–God already shows signs of Gradual decadence”. This decadence was thought to be defeated through restoring the ideal past of the golden age by kings mainly or even by individuals themselves sometimes.

3) Was Archaism just a case of “copying" the past? Upon answering this, Peter Der Manuelian suggests in his important study that the Saite period, for example, used the past, and didn’t copy it as it is. The Egyptians of that period, both kings and individuals, consciously selected elements of different periods and made their own reproductions i.e. a kind of a "Hybrid Mixture". Of course we can't consider this process of ‘taking the past as a model" as a rule for all other periods characterized by Archaism. But we do have to take this differentiation between taking the past as model and copying the past into consideration in all fields of creation.

4) Concerning the motives of Archaism, we can first refer to different previous interpretations concerning this phenomenon. Anthes considers it as "a replacement for the lack of contemporary creativity and imagination". But Otto considers it as "a longing for the 'Golden Age' of Egyptian history". While Wolf considers this "eclecticism as an evidence of an age in chaos". But Assmann attributes it to "a fear (due to foreign pressures) of losing contact with the time of original creation and hence one's collective cultural identity". As for Der Manuelian, he assumes that Archaism prior to dynasty 26 was due to political and economic instability, while it was during Dynasty 26 due to "xenophobic tendencies". This was because of the foreign domination (like Assyrians) and the increasing numbers of foreigners whose presence pressured the sense of Egyptian national identity. Tait relates this phenomenon to the broader developments in Egyptian society, from at least as early as the Kushite period. And from an artistic point of view, Morkot sets different reasons for this Archaism which are: "a political factor" (the re-unification of Egypt following the Persian rule); "a cultic factor" (the restoration of earlier monuments for cultic purposes); and "a personal antiquarian tendency".
Concerning the mechanism of Archaism or selecting some specific elements of the past to be imitated, we can refer to Hornung’s assumption, that the historical events are generally too many, but only few of them were recorded and archived for different reasons. Subsequently, only few of those few recorded historical events are to be models to be repeated by, or which can inspire different Archaizing groups:

First: Individual eclecticism or selection: in which each person has his own reasons to choose specific archived history or persons from the past to appreciate. Good examples of this type are prince Khaemwaset son of Ramesses II who showed a special interest in the pyramids’ period; the Late Ramesside official Imiseba, who had archival responsibilities at Karnak, also had scenes of festival processions copied from major Theban temples in his tomb. Second: As for class Archaism in which for example the royal regime is looking for legitimacy through association with the past, we have Hatschepsut who inspired the style of Mentuhotep neb hepet Re’s temple for hers at Deir el Bahari. Third: The Kushite-Saite Period will serve as a model for social Archaism because, although the initial motive for Archaism then was to again legitimacy from an association with the past, it turned into a wide social interest. Sabine Neureiter assumed that Archaism of the late period was due to the Elite who wanted to use the past to ensure their privileges. She wrote: “Der Archaismus ist Ausdruck einer Sehnsucht der herrschaftstragenden Elite nach ihrer ursprünglichen Exklusivität”. But Assmann supposes that this phenomenon was a kind of aristocratic civil awareness. From his point of view, that was not only an expression of the need of the Elite to keep a higher position than the lower classes, but it also contained and involved all classes to represent the Egyptian civilization abroad, in the face of Assyrians, Greeks, Jews and Persians. This of course explains why Archaism became a popular movement at that time and was not restricted to a specific class. But before anything else, we should establish when the past starts? Of course there is no single answer for this question. Each period in Egyptian history had its own golden age of the past to follow. Ashton’s study suggests that Archaism doesn’t require a long past to explore. Some Ptolemaic Sculpture looks back to the very recent past. The Saite Period was inspired mainly by the Old & New Kingdoms’ styles. And for the New Kingdom Archaism, Middle & Old Kingdoms were mainly taken as Models. This means simply, that each Archaizing tendency (Individual, Class or Social type) has its own taste depending upon complex factors. This taste exerts its eclectic effort to choose a specific past and even specific persons and styles of this past to imitate.

Conclusion:

Concerning the phenomenon of Egyptian Nostalgia and Archaism, it still needs a more comprehensive study to create a complete picture. It is necessary to bring all the partial studies close together to compose the whole mosaic scene of this phenomenon. So I deeply agree with what Brunner mentioned: “Das Phänomen harrt noch umfassenden Sammlung und genauen Interpretation”. Although many scholars have written articles concerning this phenomenon from different point of views, it still needs Better definition of its terminology. And this will allow locating Nostalgia better in different spheres of
Egyptian activities. When these standards are to be applied, many variable factors must be taken into consideration: the period of a specific Nostalgia, the location and the class in which Nostalgic features are to be studied...etc.

In my opinion, we do have to rely on the modern Egyptian society studies in the field of Egyptology. This will give us many explanations of some mysterious points due to the obvious continuation of many habits, traditions, names, language... etc. through all the different Egyptian eras. We do have to differentiate between "Traditions" which are inherited continued practices, and "Archaism" which is a conscious eclecticism of some elements of the discontinued past. This Nostalgia or Archaism was not just a "copying" of the past blindly, but it was a kind of "modeling" this past through choosing and mixing elements of different previous periods. Even though, this ancient mixture receives also consciously some modern invented elements. Due to this Nostalgia, the modern Christian and Muslim Egyptians never looked at their past as a history. So, they would hardly show any interest to their ancient civilization as a discontinued past without the efforts of Egyptologists all over the world. Finally, all over their history, Egyptians showed a great interest towards the subject of continuation. They always considered themselves as an extension of this far past.

5 This term is originally an Evangelical expression derived from: "Foundation", and was used by Prophet Isaiah in the Holy Bible: (Isaiah 16: 28).
7 Wb V, 278, 3, 4.
9 It is the time which followed the Creation period and was characterized by its Idealism because it was ruled by Re, the creator god himself before he left to reside in Heaven.
10 'Der Manuelian, Living in the Past,.388 ff...'
14 That's why the language of the twelfth Dynasty was regarded as the classical variety of Egyptian until the end of Ancient Egyptian history. See: A. Loprieno, Ancient Egyptian. A linguistic introduction, (Cambridge, 1995), 5- 8.
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